

1 PAUL S. SHENG, ESQ. BAR#: 199785
2 CLAPP, MORONEY, BELLAGAMBA,
3 VUCINICH, BEEMAN and SCHELEY
4 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION
1111 Bayhill Drive, Suite 300
San Bruno, CA 94066
(650) 989-5400 (650) 989-5499 FAX

5 Attorneys for Defendant,
6 QI HUAN RUAN

7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 TINA GABBRIELLI,
12 Plaintiff,
13 v.
14 QI HUAN RUAN,
15 Defendant.

16 CASE NO.: C 14-00277 MEJ

17

18 **PLAINTIFF AND DEFENDANT'S
STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
ORDER TO CONTINUE SETTLEMENT
CONFERENCE**

19 Complaint filed: July 26, 2013
20 Case Transferred: January 13, 2014
21 Settlement Conf. August 11, 2014

22 Honorable Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena
23 James

24 (Settlement Conference with Magistrate Judge
25 Kandis A. Westmore)

26 Pursuant to Local Rules 6-2 and 7-12, Plaintiff TINA GABBRIELLI and Defendant QI HUAN
27 RUAN in the above-entitled action submit this stipulated request for order continuing the settlement
28 conference, presently scheduled for August 11, 2014 at 11:00 a.m., and request this Court to adopt it
as its Settlement Conference Order in this case.

29 The parties were referred to Magistrate Judge Kandis A. Westmore for a settlement conference
30 by Magistrate Judge Maria-Elena James. The Case Management Order does not compel completion
31 of the settlement conference by any specific date. (Declaration of Paul S. Sheng, ¶2.)

32 ///

1 Continuing the settlement conference is necessary to permit the parties to complete essential
2 discovery prior to the conference. Plaintiff, who is Pro Se, is a government employee. (Declaration
3 of Tina Gabbrielli, ¶2.) Due to unforeseeable world events beyond her control, and her work
4 responsibilities related to them, she has not been able to meet all of the discovery deadlines or issue
5 her own discovery requests. (Declaration of Tina Gabbrielli, ¶2.) Defendant has been unable to
6 complete discovery due to Plaintiff's delay in providing initial disclosures, responding to inquiries, and
7 responding to discovery (Declaration of Paul S. Sheng, ¶3):

- 8 • Pursuant to the original case management order, which Plaintiff first received on or
9 about March 25, 2014, Plaintiff was to provide her Initial Disclosures by April 10,
10 2014, prior to the initial case management conference. Due to the delay in service of
11 the case management order, Plaintiff was unable to comply with these deadlines and
12 requested that the Court continue the Case Management Conference. (Declaration of
13 Tina Gabbrielli, ¶3.)
- 14 • Plaintiff requested that the Court continue the Case Management Conference, which
15 was granted. The Conference was later vacated. (Declaration of Paul S. Sheng, ¶2.)
- 16 • Plaintiff did not produce her initial disclosure until June 23, 2014. (Declaration of
17 Paul S. Sheng, ¶4.)
- 18 • Defendant propounded interrogatories and requests for production of documents on
19 June 12, 2014. Responses were due July 17, 2014. Plaintiff's responses to the requests
20 for production were timely produced. An extension was granted until July 21, 2014
21 for responses to interrogatories. Plaintiff's responses were not received until July 30,
22 2014. (Declaration of Paul S. Sheng, ¶5.)
- 23 • On June 12, 2014, Defendant requested that plaintiff select a day in late July for her
24 deposition. Defendant did not receive any suggestions or feedback, other than a
25 representation from plaintiff that she would be unavailable July 21 through 25 and that
26 she would try to find a date she could be available. On July 10, 2014, defendant
27 unilaterally noticed plaintiff's deposition for July 30, 2014. On July 21, 2014, plaintiff
28 informed defendant that she would be unavailable on July 30, 2014 and would call to

1 schedule another date. The parties have been unable to coordinate another date.

2 (Declaration of Paul S. Sheng, ¶6.)

3 Defendant is not able to evaluate her case prior to the settlement conference scheduled for
4 August 11, 2014, and will not be able to engage in meaningful negotiations at said conference.
5 Accordingly, the parties together ask the Court to continue the settlement conference for a period of
6 thirty (30) days, for a date convenient for the Court's calendar, to permit the parties to complete
7 discovery.

8 DATED: August 5, 2014

9 By: /s/ Tina Gabbrielli
10 TINA GABBRELLI
Pro Se Plaintiff

11 DATED: August 5, 2014

12 CLAPP, MORONEY, BELLAGAMBA,
13 VUCINICH, BEEMAN and SCHELEY

14 By: /s/ Paul S. Sheng
15 PAUL S. SHENG
16 Attorneys for Defendant,
17 QI HUAN RUAN

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE ORDER

The stipulation and proposed order is hereby adopted by the Court and the parties are ordered to comply with this Order. The Settlement Conference will be continued for 30 days, for a date that accommodates the schedule of the presiding Judge.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

The Court further orders:

Dated: 8/7/14

**UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
MAGISTRATE JUDGE KANDIS A. WESTMORE**